

A new cosmological tracker solution for Quintessence

L. Arturo Ureña-López* and Tonatiuh Matos†

Departamento de Física,

Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN,

AP 14-740, 07000 México D.F., MEXICO.

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

In this paper we propose a quintessence model with the potential $V(\Phi) = V_o [\sinh(\alpha \sqrt{\kappa_o} \Delta\Phi)]^\beta$, which asymptotic behavior corresponds to an inverse power-law potential at early times and to an exponential one at late times. We demonstrate that this is a tracker solution and that it could have driven the Universe into its current inflationary stage. The exact solutions and the description for a complete evolution of the Universe are also given. We compare such model with the current cosmological observations.

PACS numbers: 98.80.-k, 95.35.+d

Typeset using REVTeX

*E-mail: lurena@fis.cinvestav.mx

†E-mail: tmatos@fis.cinvestav.mx

Recent observations of Ia supernovae revealed the existence of a certain vacuum energy with a negative equation of state, $\omega < 0$, being $p = \omega\rho$. It seems that the contribution of this vacuum energy, also called dark energy, leads the Universe to reach the critical energy density and to accelerate its expansion [1]. Furthermore, if these observations can be confirmed, they indicate that this missing energy will dominate the future evolution of the Cosmos. The most reliable models for such missing energy are the cosmological constant (Λ) [2] and a fluctuating, inhomogeneous scalar field, rolling down a scalar potential, called Quintessence (Q) [3,4]. For the later case, great effort has been made to determine the appropriate scalar potential that could explain current cosmological observations [3–7]. One example, is the pure exponential potential [5,6]. It has the advantage that it mimics the dominant density background, but nucleosynthesis constraints require that the scalar field contribution be $\Omega_\Phi \leq 0.2$, which indicates that the scalar field would never dominate the Universe [5]. On the other hand, a special group of scalar potentials has been proposed in order to have $\rho_\Phi \sim \rho_M$ at the present epoch: the tracker solutions [4]. In these solutions, the cosmology at late times is extremely insensitive to initial conditions, reducing the fine tuning problem. One of these potentials is the pure inverse power-law one, $V(\Phi) \sim \Phi^{-\alpha}$ [4,7,8]. Although it reduces the fine tuning and the cosmic coincidence problem, the predicted value for the current equation of state for the quintessence is not in good agreement with supernovae results [4]. The same problem arises with the inverse power-law-like potentials. Another example are the new potentials proposed in [9]. They avoid efficiently the troubles stated above, but it is not possible to determine unambiguously their three parameters.

In a previous work, we showed that if the Universe is completely dominated by the scalar energy density with a constant equation of state, then it naturally arises that the effective scalar field potential is an exponential one [10]. In this letter, we combine this fact of the late Universe with a power-law-like potential, which seems to be a good candidate for the early Universe. As we will see, this combination avoids the problems of the exponential potential and coincides very well with observations. In order to do so, we propose a new cosmological tracker solution with the scalar field potential

$$V(\Phi) = V_o [\sinh(\alpha \sqrt{\kappa_o} \Delta \Phi)]^\beta, \quad (1)$$

which asymptotic behavior corresponds to an inverse power-law-like potential at early times and to an exponential one at late times. We will analyze the exact solutions of the evolution equations for this case and we will determine all the parameters in order to have a tracker solution and an accelerated expansion at the present time.

We start with the flat FRW metric

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t) [dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta) d\phi^2)]. \quad (2)$$

Thus, the equation of evolution for the scalar field Φ is

$$\ddot{\Phi} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\Phi} + \frac{dV}{d\Phi} = 0, \quad (3)$$

and the Hubble parameter satisfies the Friedmann equation

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{\kappa_o}{3}(\rho + \rho_\Phi) \quad (4)$$

where $\rho_\Phi = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\Phi}^2 + V(\Phi)$ is the density of the scalar field, ρ is the density of the baryons, plus neutrinos, plus radiation, etc., and $\kappa_o = 8\pi G = M_P^{-2}$. The equations (3) and (4) can be written in a more convenient form if we define the function $F(a)$ such that $V(\Phi(a)) = F(a)/a^6$ [11]. A first integral of the field equation (3) can be found

$$\frac{1}{2}\dot{\Phi}^2 + V(\Phi) = \frac{6}{a^6} \int da \frac{F}{a} + \frac{C}{a^6} = \rho_\Phi, \quad (5)$$

being C an integration constant. If the scale factor is considered as the independent variable, the field equations can be integrated up to quadratures [11]

$$\Delta t = \sqrt{3} \int \frac{da}{a \sqrt{\kappa_o(\rho_\Phi + \rho)}} \quad (6)$$

$$\Delta \Phi = \sqrt{6} \int \frac{da}{a} \left[\frac{\rho_\Phi - F/a^6}{\rho_\Phi + \rho} \right]^{1/2}, \quad (7)$$

where we have normalized the scalar field in units of M_P . The exact solution for the potential (1) can be found if we choose $F(a) = Ba^s$ and $C = 0$, where $6 - s = 3(1 + \omega^*)$, being s

a constant (we shall denote the quantities in the solution with an asterisk (*)). Then, the scalar energy density scales as $\rho^* = \rho_o^* a^{-3(1+\omega^*)}$, being $\rho_o^* = \frac{2B}{1-\omega^*}$. The complete solution is [11]

$$\alpha \Delta\Phi = \operatorname{arccoth}\left(1 + \frac{\rho_{oD}}{\rho_o^*} a^{3(\omega^* - \omega_D)}\right)^{1/2} \quad (8)$$

$$\alpha = -\frac{3(\omega^* - \omega_D)}{2\sqrt{3(1 + \omega^*)}}, \quad (9)$$

$$\beta = \frac{2(1 + \omega^*)}{\omega^* - \omega_D} \quad (10)$$

$$\rho_o^* = \left(\frac{2V_o}{1 - \omega^*} \rho_{oD}^{-\beta/2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta/2}} \quad (11)$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{\kappa_o}{3}} \Delta t = \sqrt{\frac{12}{\rho_o^*}} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}(1+\omega^*)}}{3(1 + \omega^*)} \times {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\beta}{4}, \frac{\beta+4}{4}, -\frac{\rho_{oD}}{\rho_o^*} a^{3(\omega^* - \omega_D)}\right) \quad (12)$$

$$V'(\Phi) = \alpha \beta \tanh^{-1}(\alpha \Delta\Phi) V(\Phi) \quad (13)$$

$$V'' = [(\beta - 1) \tanh^{-2}(\alpha \Delta\Phi) + 1] \alpha^2 \beta V(\Phi). \quad (14)$$

where $\rho_D = \rho_{oD} a^{-3(1+\omega_D)}$ and ω_D respectively are the energy density and the equation state for the dominant component at each stage of the evolution of the Universe. For given values of α and β we will have this solution only when eqs. (9,10) are simultaneously satisfied and $\rho^* \sim \rho_D$.

As state above, the important feature of this potential is its asymptotic behavior, for $|\alpha \Delta\Phi| \ll 1$,

$$V(\Phi) \simeq V_o (\alpha \Delta\Phi)^\beta, \quad (15)$$

and then, it behaves as an inverse power-law potential. Using eqs. (6,7), it can be demonstrated that this form of the potential is a solution when the scalar energy is strongly subdominant ($\rho^* \ll \rho_D$) with the same conditions given above for $F(a)$. In fact,

$$\alpha \Delta\Phi \simeq a^{2\frac{1+\omega_\Phi}{\omega_\Phi - \omega_D}} \quad (16)$$

$$\Delta t \sim a^{\frac{3(1+\omega_D)}{2}} \quad (17)$$

$$\rho^* = \rho_o^* a^{-3(1+\omega^*)} \quad (18)$$

$$\omega^* = \frac{1 + \frac{\beta}{2}\omega_D}{\frac{\beta}{2} - 1}$$

$$V'(\Phi) = \beta \frac{V(\Phi)}{\Delta\Phi} \quad (19)$$

$$V'' = \beta^2 \frac{V(\Phi)}{(\Delta\Phi)^2}, \quad (20)$$

with ρ_o^* given by eq. 11. In [4], it is demonstrated that an inverse power-law scalar potential has a cosmological tracker solution, and then avoids the fine-tuning and the cosmic coincidence problems of current cosmology. Therefore, our solution is as follows: We will choose the appropriate values for the parameters in the potential in such a way that the solution for eqs. (8-14) can only be reached until the matter and the scalar energies are of the same order, as it happens today. From eqs. (9-11), we can see that ω^* and ρ_o^* will become the current equation of state and the current energy density for the scalar field, respectively. Thus, all parameters can be determined and the solution becomes directly a tracker one. We can now draw a complete history for the Universe modeled by potential (1). The behavior of the scalar field for the completely radiation and matter dominated epochs is exactly the same than that found for an inverse power-law potential in [4], because at those epochs the scalar energy is subdominant. For instance, say that $\rho_{i\Phi} \leq \rho_{i\gamma}$ at the end of inflation. At the beginning, the kinetic energy dominates and $p_\Phi \simeq \rho_\Phi$, and eventually the evolution of the scalar energy stops, $p_\Phi \simeq -\rho_\Phi$, mimics a “cosmological constant” and remains frozen until the time when the tracker solution is satisfied. However, the case $\rho_{i\Phi} > \rho_{i\gamma}$ continues being troublesome. Another similar aspect is that our potential reaches its tracker solution when Φ is of order M_P , as can be seen from eq. (14).

However, there are some key differences when the scalar field completely dominates the evolution ($\rho_\Phi > \rho_M$). First, when passing from a matter dominated epoch to a scalar field dominated one, the Universe is driven naturally into a power-law inflationary stage [11]. Second, the scalar potential does not behave as a power-law potential anymore. As it was found in [10], the asymptotic behavior of the scalar potential will be

$$\Delta\Phi = \sqrt{3(1+\omega_\Phi)} \ln a \quad (21)$$

$$V(\Phi) = \tilde{V}_o \exp \left[-\sqrt{3(1+\omega_\Phi)} (\Delta\Phi) \right] \quad (22)$$

$$\tilde{V}_o = \frac{V_o}{2^\beta}$$

$$V' = (\alpha\beta) V(\Phi) \quad (23)$$

$$V'' = (\alpha\beta)^2 V(\Phi) \quad (24)$$

and then

$$H_o \Delta t = \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{\frac{12}{\Omega_{o\Phi}}} \frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}(1+\omega_\Phi)}}{(1+\omega_\Phi)}, \quad (25)$$

with H_o the current Hubble parameter, and the scalar energy density will evolve as

$$\rho_\Phi = \frac{2}{1-\omega_\Phi} \tilde{V}_o a^{-3(1+\omega_\Phi)}. \quad (26)$$

Third, note that the equation of state ω_Φ will maintain the value it acquired in the matter dominated epoch, that is, it will never switch to -1 .

There is an almost generalized agreement in the current amounts for the different components in the Universe [1–3], thus, we will take $\Omega_{oM} = 0.30$ and $\Omega_{o\Phi} = 0.70$. First of all, in fig. I it is shown the evolution of the density parameters for each component of the Universe as functions of the scale factor a , for the values $\omega_\Phi = \{-0.6, -0.7, -0.8, -0.9\}$. The scalar energy remains subdominant until the epoch of matter domination and then the tracker solution is reached. This must have happened at a redshift $6 < z < 19$. Observe that a more negative ω causes a later scalar dominance. On the other hand, the matter-scalar equality occurred at a redshift $0.3 < z < 0.6$.

Now, we will explore the consequences of the models for the different observational constraints. Because the observations of SNIa has been done for $z \leq 1$, it is easy to compute the luminosity distance with constant scalar equation of state because the scalar field has already reached its tracker solution. The results for different values of the equation of state are shown in fig. I.

We used an amended version of CMBFAST [12] to compute the Angular Power Spectrums for the different models in comparison with observational data and Λ CDM (fig. I). The processed spectrums are very similar.

Note that even for more negative ω_Φ the more similar the model is to the Λ CDM one, there is no solution for the potential (1) with $\omega_\Phi = -1$ (see eq. (9)).

Following [14], we also computed the Mass Power Spectrums (fig. I).

We can observe the same similarity among the models, as it was expected from the angular spectrum. As a reference, the potential is shown in fig. I for different values of the equation of state (see fig. I).

The deceleration parameter for this model can be written in terms of the present energy densities Ω_{oM} , $\Omega_{o\Phi}$ and the scalar equation of state ω_Φ

$$q_o \equiv -H_0^2(\ddot{a}/a)_0 = \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{oM} + \frac{1+3\omega_\Phi}{2}\Omega_{o\Phi}. \quad (27)$$

Thus, $-0.13 > q_o > -0.4$. The age of the Universe is $(13 < t_o < 14) \times 10^9$ years.

Summarizing, we have found that the potential

$$V(\Phi) = \frac{1-\omega_\Phi}{2} \rho_{o\Phi} \left(\frac{\Omega_{oM}}{\Omega_{o\Phi}} \right)^{\frac{1+\omega_\Phi}{\omega_\Phi}} \times \left[\sinh \left(\frac{-3\omega_\Phi}{2\sqrt{3(1+\omega_\Phi)}} \Delta\Phi \right) \right]^{\frac{2(1+\omega_\Phi)}{\omega_\Phi}} \quad (28)$$

is a good quintessential candidate to be the missing energy in the Universe. Its behavior as an inverse power-law potential at early times allows us to avoid the fine tuning problem under the initial condition (say, at the end of inflation) $\rho_{i\Phi} < \rho_{i\gamma}$. Its exponential like-behavior would drive the Universe into a power-law inflationary stage, which is in accord with recent observations. The three parameters (V_o , α and β in (1)) can be determined uniquely by the measured values for the equation of state and the amount of vacuum energy to obtain a tracker solution, then we can avoid the coincidence problem. Also, we found a good agreement with the current observations for SNIa, Angular and Mass power spectrums. However, we do not already know about a theory that could predict this kind of potentials, but we think that its attractive features make it worth to study.

I. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS




This work was partly supported by CONACyT, México 119259 (L.A.U.)



REFERENCES

- [1] Perlmutter *et al.* ApJ **517**, 565 (1999). A. G. Riess *et al.*, Astron.J. **116**, 1009 (1998).
- [2] Neta A. Bahcall, Jeremiah P. Ostriker, Saul Perlmutter and Paul J. Steinhardt, Science **284**, 1481-1488. Varun Sahni, Alexei Starobinsky, to appear in International Journal of Modern Physics D, also at astro-ph/9904398.
- [3] R. R. Caldwell, Rahul Dave and Paul J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1582 (1998). Liming Wang, R. R. Caldwell, J. P. Ostriker and Paul J. Steinhardt, astro-ph/9901388.
- [4] Ivaylo Zlatev, Limin Wang and Paul J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 896 (1999); P.J. Steinhardt, L. Wang and I. Zlatev, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 123504 (1999).
- [5] Pedro G. Ferreira and Michael Joyce, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 023503 (1998).
- [6] T. Barreiro, E.J. Copeland and N.J. Nunes, Report-no: SUSX-TH-016. Available at astro-ph/9910214.
- [7] Silviu Podariu and Bharat Ratra, astro-ph/9910527.
- [8] P.J.E. Peebles and B. Ratra, Astrophys. J. Lett. **325**, L17 (1988); B. Ratra and P.J.E. Peebles, Phys. Rev. D **37**, 3406 (1988).
- [9] Varun Sahni and Liming Wang, astro-ph/9910097.
- [10] T. Matos, F. S. Guzmán and L. A. Ureña-López, to be published in Classical and Quantum Gravity. Also available at astro-ph/9908152.
- [11] Luis P. Chimento, Alejandro S. Jakubi, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D5**, (1996)71.
- [12] U. Seljak and M. Zaldarriaga, ApJ **469**, 437 (1996).
- [13] R. Belén Barreiro, to be published in New Astronomy Reviews. Also at astro-ph/9907094.
- [14] Chung-Pei Ma *et al.*, Astrophys.J. **521**, L1-L4(1999).

[15] J. Peacock, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **284**, 885 (1997).

FIGURES





II. CAPTIONS

FIG. 1. Evolution of the dimensionless density parameters taking $\Omega_{oM} = 0.30$ and $\Omega_{o\Phi} = 0.70$. The different models with $\omega_\Phi = \{-0.6(\text{red}), -0.7(\text{green}), -0.8(\text{blue}), -0.9(\text{pink})\}$ are also shown.

FIG. 2. The luminosity distance *vs* redshift for the models shown in fig. I. The horizontal line is the luminosity distance for ΛCDM . The open circles represent the observational results from SCP and the opaque circles are from HZS [1].

FIG. 3. Angular power spectrum for the models considered in fig. I and ΛCDM (black). The data were taken from [13].

FIG. 4. Mass power spectrum for the models shown in fig. I. All models with spectral index $n_s = 1$. The data points are from [15].

FIG. 5. Evolution of the scalar potential $V(\Phi)$ in terms of the scalar field Φ .